

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginta 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/822,651 | 03/30/2001 | Scott J. Tuman | 54407US006 | 9447 |
| 33692 7590 086042699 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LIGHTFOOT, ELENA TSOY | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/04/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/822,651
 TUMAN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Elena Tsoy Lightfoot
 1792

Elena Tsoy Lightfoot All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Elena Tsov Lightfoot. (3)Phillip Dahl. (2) Kathleen Gross . (4)____. Date of Interview: 30 July 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: __ Claim(s) discussed: 113-115. Identification of prior art discussed: Wessels. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the Examiner agreed that amending the claims 113-115 to recite "partial exposure of the fibrous web" would overcome current 112 issues. The Examiner advised to amend claims to avoid embodiment illustrated in Fig. 4A of Wessels showing partial exposure of the fibrous web. If claims were amended to recite the exposed fibrous surface on the edge of the web, the Examiner was uncertain whether it would overcome obviousnes of "design choice" . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Elena Tsoy Lightfoot /

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792